



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,641	09/22/2003	Folkert W. Horst	U 014831-1	1112

7590 03/22/2006

Mr. William R. Evans
LADAS & PARRY
26 West 61st Street
New York, NY 10023-7604

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CUONG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3661

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/667,641	Applicant(s) HORST ET AL.	
	Examiner CUONG H. NGUYEN	Art Unit 3661	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) CUONG H. NGUYEN (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. William R. Evans (Reg. no. 25,858).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006
Time: 12:15 pm.
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner requests an election from pending claims due to claiming 4 distinct inventions. The attorney will not have an answer for this request from Canada until Monday, 03/20/2006. The examiner would like to present those distinctions in writing because of complex characteristics of pending claims.